

ON A $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -MAXIMAL CURVE OF GENUS $q(q-3)/6$

MIRIAM ABDÓN AND FERNANDO TORRES

ABSTRACT. We show that a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $q(q-3)/6$ in characteristic three is either a non-reflexive space curve of degree $q+1$, or it is uniquely determined up to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphism by a plane model of Artin-Schreier type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a projective, geometrically irreducible, non-singular algebraic curve of genus g defined over the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ of order q^2 . The curve $\mathcal{X}$ is called *$\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal* if it attains the Hasse-Weil upper bound on the number of $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -rational points; i.e., if one has

$$\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) = q^2 + 1 + 2qg.$$

Maximal curves are known to be very useful in Coding Theory [17] and they have been intensively studied by several authors: see e.g. [33], [16], [12], [11], [13], [8], [15], [14], [27], [28]. The subject of this paper is related to the following basic questions:

- For a given power q of a prime, which is the spectrum of the genera g of $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curves?
- For each g in the previous item, how many non-isomorphic $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curves of genus g do exist?
- Write down an explicit $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -plane model for each of the curves in the previous item.

Ihara [25] observed that g cannot be arbitrarily large compared with q^2 . More precisely,

$$g \leq g_1 = g_1(q^2) := q(q-1)/2.$$

Rück and Stichtenoth [31] showed that (up to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphism) there is just one $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus g_1 , namely the Hermitian curve of equation

$$(1.1) \quad Y^q Z + Y Z^q = X^{q+1}.$$

On the other hand, if $g < g_1$, then

$$g \leq g_2 = g_2(q^2) := \lfloor (q-1)^2/4 \rfloor$$

2000 Math. Subj. Class.: Primary 11G20, Secondary 14G05, 14G10.

Key words.: Finite field, Maximal curve, Artin-Schreier extension, Additive polynomial.

The authors were partially supported respectively by FAPERJ and PRONEX-Cnpq (Brazil), and by Cnpq-Brazil (Proc. 300681/97-6).

(see [33], [12]) and, up to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphism, there is just one $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus g_2 which is obtained as the quotient of the Hermitian curve by a certain involution (see [11, Thm. 3.1], [3], [28, Thm. 3.1]). Now if $g < g_2$, then (see [28])

$$g \leq g_3 = g_3(q^2) := \lfloor (q^2 - q + 4)/6 \rfloor ,$$

and this bound is sharp as examples in [14], [27], and [10] show. These examples arise as quotient curves of the Hermitian curve by a certain automorphism of order three; however it is not known whether or not such curves are $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -unique. In view of the results stated above and taking into consideration the examples in [9], [10] and [14], it is reasonable to expect that only few (non-isomorphic) $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curves do exist having genus g close to the upper bound g_1 provided that q is fixed. As a matter of fact, in the range

$$\lfloor (q-1)(q-2)/6 \rfloor \leq g < g_3 ,$$

the following statements hold:

- (I) If $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, there exists an $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $g = g_3 - 1$; see [9, Thm. 6.2] and [14, Thm. 5.1]. Such a curve is also the quotient of the Hermitian curve by a certain automorphism of order three and it is also not known whether this curve is unique or not;
- (II) If $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $q \geq 11$, there is just one $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve (up to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphism) of genus $(q-1)(q-2)/6$, namely the non-singular model of the affine plane curve $y^q + y = x^{(q+1)/3}$, see [28, Thm. 4.5];
- (III) If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ with $q \geq 13$, there is no $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $(q-1)(q-2)/6$, loc. cit.;
- (IV) If $q = 3^t$, $t \geq 1$, there exists an $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $g = q(q-3)/6$, namely the non-singular model (over $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$) of the affine plane curve

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^t y^{q/3^i} = ax^{q+1}, \quad \text{with } a \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2} \text{ such that } a^{q-1} = -1 .$$

We observe that different values of a in (1.2) define just one $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve (up to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphism); see Remark 4.2.

In this paper, motivated by the questions and the results above, we investigate the uniqueness (up to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphism) of the $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve in statement (IV). Our main result is Theorem 4.1, where we show that such a curve is either a non-reflexive space curve of degree $q+1$, or it is uniquely determined (up to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphism) by the Artin-Schreier relation (1.2). Unfortunately, we do not know whether or not such a non-reflexive maximal curve can exist (see Remarks 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7).

The key point in the proof of Theorem 4.1 is the fact that an $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $q(q-3)/6$ can be embedded either in $\mathbf{P}^3(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2})$ or in $\mathbf{P}^4(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2})$ as a curve of degree $q+1$. This fact follows from the “natural embedding theorem” [27, Thm. 2.5] combined with Castelnuovo’s genus formula (2.2). In the former case, the curve is non-reflexive; see

Remark 3.6. In the latter case, the curve is *extremal* in the sense that its genus coincide with Castelnuovo's number $c(q+1, 4)$; see formula (2.2). Several relevant properties of extremal curves are known to be true in positive characteristic thanks to Rathmann's paper [30]. For our purpose, the relevant result on extremal curves is a remark due to Accola in [4]; see Lemma 4.3 here. It follows then that this extremal $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve admits a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -rational point whose Weierstrass semigroup H is generated by $q/3$ and $q+1$ (see Lemma 4.6); consequently the curve admits a plane model over $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ given by Eq. (4.2), the so called "generalized Weierstrass normal form associated to H ". Further properties of the linear series associated to the embedding of the curve in $\mathbf{P}^4(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2})$ (see Section 2) and some tedious computations (which are handled as in [3]) complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

We point out that several examples of non-isomorphic $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curves of genus $g \approx q^2/8$ are known; see [8, Remark 4.1] and [1]. We also point out that analogous results to our main theorem have been noticed in [2].

As in previous research (see e.g. [11], [28] and the references therein), the essential tool used here is the approach of Stöhr and Voloch [34] to the Hasse-Weil bound applied to the corresponding linear series in the aforementioned "natural embedding theorem" [27, Thm. 2.5].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper we assume $q \geq 9$ since the case $q = 3$ is trivial. As it is known from [12], any $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve $\mathcal{X}$ is equipped with its $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -canonical linear series; namely, the complete simple base-point-free linear series

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} := |(q+1)P_0|,$$

where P_0 is an arbitrary $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -rational point of $\mathcal{X}$. Two basic properties of $\mathcal{D}$ are that it is very ample [27, Thm. 2.5], and the following linear equivalence of divisors [11, Cor. 1.2]:

$$(2.1) \quad qP + \Phi(P) \sim (q+1)P_0, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{X},$$

where $\Phi = \Phi_{q^2}$ is the Frobenius morphism on $\mathcal{X}$ relative to $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$. In particular, the definition of $\mathcal{D}$ is independent of P_0 . To deal with the dimension N of $\mathcal{D}$ we use Castelnuovo's genus bound (for curves in projective spaces) which, for a simple linear series g_d^r on $\mathcal{X}$, bounds from above the genus g of the curve by means of Castelnuovo's number $c(d, r)$; i.e., one has

$$(2.2) \quad g \leq c(d, r) := \frac{d-1-\epsilon}{2(r-1)}(d-r+\epsilon),$$

ϵ being the unique integer with $0 \leq \epsilon \leq r-2$ and $d-1 \equiv \epsilon \pmod{r-1}$; see [7], [5, p. 116], [19, IV, Thm. 6.4], [30, Cor. 2.8].

Lemma 2.1. *For a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $g = q(q-3)/6$, $N \in \{3, 4\}$.*

Proof. We have that $N \geq 2$ and that $N = 2$ if and only if $\mathcal{X}$ is the Hermitian curve whose genus is $g = q(q-1)/2$ (see [13, Thm. 2.4]). Therefore $N \geq 3$. If $N \geq 5$, from (2.2) and the hypothesis on g we would have $q(q-3)/6 \leq (q-2)^2/8$; so $q^2 \leq 12$, a contradiction. $\square$

Next based on the Stöhr and Voloch Theory [34], we summarize some properties on Weierstrass Point Theory and Frobenius Orders with respect to the linear series $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\epsilon_0 = 0 < \epsilon_1 = 1 < \dots < \epsilon_N$ and $\nu_0 = 0 < \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{N-1}$ denote respectively the $\mathcal{D}$ -orders and $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -Frobenius orders of $\mathcal{D}$. For $P \in \mathcal{X}$, let $j_0(P) = 0 < j_1(P) < \dots < j_N(P)$ be the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders of $\mathcal{D}$, and $(n_i(P) : i = 0, 1, \dots)$ the strictly increasing sequence that enumerates the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P)$ at P . We have

$$0 < n_1(P) < \dots < n_{N-1}(P) \leq q < q+1 \leq n_N(P),$$

and $n_N(P) = q+1$ for $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ by (2.1); furthermore, $n_{N-1}(P) = q$ for any $P \in \mathcal{X}$ ([11, Prop. 1.9], [28, Thm. 2.5]). We also have the following facts from [11, Thm. 1.4, Prop. 1.5]:

- Lemma 2.2.** (1) $\epsilon_N = \nu_{N-1} = q$;
 (2) $\nu_1 = 1$ if $N \geq 3$;
 (3) $j_1(P) = 1$ for any P ;
 (4) $j_N(P) = q+1$ if $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$, otherwise $j_N(P) = q$;
 (5) If $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$, then the $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders are $n_N(P) - n_i(P)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$;
 (6) If $P \notin \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$, then the elements $n_{N-1}(P) - n_i(P)$, $i = 0, \dots, N-1$, are $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders.

3. CASE $N = 3$

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $g = q(q-3)/6$ and let us keep the notation in Section 2. Then, as we saw in Lemma 2.1, the dimension N of $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is either 3 or 4. In this section we point out some consequences of the former possibility.

Lemma 3.1. If $N = 3$, then $\epsilon_2 = 3$.

Proof. Let S be the $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -Frobenius divisor associated to $\mathcal{D}$ (cf. [34]). Then $\deg(S) = (\nu_1 + \nu_2)(2g-2) + (q^2+3)(q+1)$, where $\nu_1 = 1$ and $\nu_2 = q$ by Lemma 2.2. For $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ it is known that (loc. cit.)

$$v_P(S) \geq j_1(P) + (j_2(P) - \nu_1) + (j_3(P) - \nu_2) = j_2(P) + 1.$$

Moreover, as $j_2(P) \geq \epsilon_2$, the maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ implies $\deg(S) \geq (\epsilon_2+1)((q+1)^2+q(2g-2))$. Now, suppose that $\epsilon_2 \geq 4$. Then the above inequality becomes

$$(q+1)(q^2-5q-2) \geq (2g-2)(4q-1),$$

which is a contradiction with the hypothesis on g . Thus we have shown that $\epsilon_2 \in \{2, 3\}$. If ϵ_2 were 2, from [9, Remark 3.3(1)] we would have $g \geq (q^2-2q+3)/6$, which is again a contradiction with respect to g . $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *If $N = 3$, then $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) \geq 9$.*

Proof. Since $0, 1, 3, q$ are $\mathcal{D}$ -orders (Lemma 2.2), then it is easy to see that $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, q, q+1, q+3, 2q$ are $2\mathcal{D}$ -orders and the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. ([9, Lemma 3.7]) *If $N = 3$, then there exists a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -rational point P such that $n_1(P) = q - 2$.*

We conclude this section with some remarks about the possibility $N = 3$.

Remark 3.4. (Related with Weierstrass semigroups) From Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 3.3 there exists $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ such that $n_1(P) = q - 2$, $n_2(P) = q$, $n_3(P) = q + 1$; i.e., the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P)$ contains the semigroup

$$H := \langle q - 2, q, q + 1 \rangle = \{(q - 2)i : i \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{qi - 2(i - 1) : i \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$$

whose genus (i.e; $\#(\mathbf{N} \setminus H)$) is equal to $(q^2 - q)/6$ (see e.g. [9, Lemma 3.4]). How can we complete H in order to get $H(P)$? We have to choose $q/3$ elements from $\mathbf{N} \setminus H$, and it is easy to see that such elements must belong to the set

$$\{(i(q - 1) : i = 2, \dots, q/3 - 1\} \cup \{q^2 - 5q/3 - 1, q^2 - 5q, q^2 - 5q/3 + 1\}.$$

This set contains $q/3 + 2$ elements, so we have to exclude two elements from it. Hence we arrive to the following seven possibilities:

- (i) $q - 1, 2q - 2 \notin H(P)$;
- (ii) $q - 1 \notin H(P)$ but $2q - 2 \in H(P)$; in this case we have to eliminate one element from the set $\{q^2 - 5q/3 - 1, q^2 - 5q/3, q^2 - 5q/3 + 1\}$;
- (iii) $q - 1, 2q - 2 \in H(P)$; in this case we have to eliminate two elements from the set $\{q^2 - 5q/3 - 1, q^2 - 5q/3, q^2 - 5q/3 + 1\}$.

So far, we do not know how an obstruction (for N being equal to 3) might arise from some of the possibilities above.

Remark 3.5. (Related with the Hermitian curve (1.1)) Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -covered by the Hermitian curve. Then the covering cannot be Galois; otherwise by [10, Prop. 5.6] the curve would be $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphic to the non-singular model of (1.2) and thus $N = 4$. We recall that there is not known any example of a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -covered by the Hermitian curve by a non-Galois covering.

Remark 3.6. (Reflexivity, Duality and the Tangent Surface) Recall that we can assume our curve $\mathcal{X}$ as being embedded in $\mathbf{P}^3(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2})$ by [27, Thm. 2.5]. Hefez [20] noticed that four cases for the generic contact orders for space curves can occur. Homma [24] realized that all the four aforementioned cases occur and characterized each of them by means of the reflexivity of either the curve $\mathcal{X}$, or the tangent surface $\text{Tan}(\mathcal{X})$ associated to it (see also

[23]). In our situation ($\epsilon_2 = 3$ and $\epsilon_3 = q$), the maximal curve $\mathcal{X}$ is non-reflexive by Hefez-Kleiman Generic Order of Contact Theorem [22]. In addition, Homma's result implies that $\text{Tan}(\mathcal{X})$ is also non-reflexive. So far we do not know how to relate the maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ to the non-reflexivity of its tangent surface. We mention that techniques analogous to those of [34] that work on certain surfaces in $\mathbf{P}^3$ over prime fields is now available thanks to a recent paper by Voloch [35].

Remark 3.7. (Related to Halphen's theorem) Ballico [6] extended Harris [18] and Rathmann [30] results concerning space curves contained in surfaces of certain degree. For a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve $\mathcal{X}$ of genus $q(q-3)/6$, with q large enough, Ballico's result implies that $\mathcal{X}$ is contained in a surface of degree 3 or 4. On the other hand, suppose that Voloch's approach [35] can be extended to cover the case of surfaces over arbitrary finite fields. Then a conjunction of Ballico and Voloch's result would provide further insights of the curves studied here.

4. MAIN RESULT

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve of genus $g = q(q-3)/6$ and let us keep the notation in Section 2. We will assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is embedded in $\mathbf{P}^N(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2})$ as a curve of degree $q+1$ (cf. [27, Thm. 2.5]), where $N = \dim(\mathcal{D}) \in \{3, 4\}$ by Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 4.1. *We have that either*

- (1) $N = 3$ and $\epsilon_2 = 3$; i.e. the curve is a non-reflexive space curve; or
- (2) $N = 4$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphic to the non-singular model of the plane curve (1.2).

Remark 4.2. In Case (2), the curve is $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -covered by the Hermitian curve (1.1). To see this we observe that via the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (x, a^q y)$, with $a^{q-1} = -1$, the Hermitian curve admits a plane model of type $y_1^q - y_1 = ax^{q+1}$. Then we obtain the curve (1.2) via the rational function $y := y_1^3 - y_1$. In particular, the non-singular model of (1.2) is indeed a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -maximal curve by [29, Prop. 6].

Furthermore, the non-singular model of (1.2) does not depend on the element a used to define the afin equation. In fact if $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ define curves as in (1.2), then such curves are $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -isomorphic by means of the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (\alpha x, y)$ with $\alpha^{q+1} = a_1/a_2$.

To give the proof of the theorem we need some auxiliary results.

Let us assume $N = 4$. In this case, the curve $\mathcal{X}$ becomes extremal in the sense mentioned in the introduction. The following result is implicitly contained in the proof of Castelnuovo's genus bound (2.2) taking into account the Riemann-Roch theorem; see e.g. [4, p. 361 and Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 4.3. (1) $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) = 11$;

- (2) *There exists a base-point-free 2-dimensional complete linear series $\mathcal{D}'$ of degree $2q/3$ such that $\frac{q-6}{3}\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}'$ is the canonical linear series of $\mathcal{X}$.*

Part (1) of this lemma implies Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4 in [28]:

- Corollary 4.4.** (1) *If $j_2(P) = 2$, then $j_3(P) = 3$;*
 (2) *If $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ and $j_2(P) > 2$, then $j_2(P) = (q+3)/3$, $j_3(P) = (2q+3)/3$;*
 (3) *If $P \notin \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ and $j_2(P) > 2$, then either $j_2(P) = q/3$, $j_3(P) = 2q/3$; or $j_2(P) = (q-1)/2$, $j_3(P) = (q+1)/2$.*

Lemma 4.5. *For $q = 9$, $n_1(P) = 3$ for any $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$.*

Proof. Let P be a $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -rational and set $n_i := n_i(P)$. Lemma 2.2 implies that $0, 1, j_2 = 10 - n_2, j_3 = 10 - n_1, 10$ are the $\mathcal{D}$ -orders at the point. Then the set of $2\mathcal{D}$ -orders at P must contain the set $\{0, 1, 2, j_2, j_2 + 1, 2j_2, j_3, j_3 + 1, j_2 + j_3, 2j_3, 10, 11, j_2 + 10, j_3 + 10, 20\}$ and hence, as $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) = 11$ by Lemma 4.3, the result follows. $\square$

From now on let us assume $q \geq 27$.

- Lemma 4.6.** (1) *The case (3) in Corollary 4.4 cannot occur;*
 (2) *There exists $P_1 \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ such that $j_2(P_1) > 2$; in this case, $n_1(P_1) = q/3$;*
 (3) *Let P_1 be as in (2) and $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\operatorname{div}_\infty(x) = \frac{q}{3}P_1$. Then the morphism $x : \mathcal{X} \setminus \{P_1\} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2})$ is unramified, and $x^{-1}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$;*
 (4) *The $\mathcal{D}$ -orders and $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -Frobenius orders of $\mathcal{D}$ are respectively $0, 1, 2, 3, q$ and $0, 1, 2, q$.*

Proof. For $P \in \mathcal{X}$, set $j_i = j_i(P)$ and $n_i = n_i(P)$.

- (1) We have that $\{q - n_2, q - n_1\} \subseteq \{1, j_2, j_3\}$ by Lemma 2.2(6). Suppose that Case (3) in Corollary 4.4 occurs.

Case $j_2 = q/3$, $j_3 = 2q/3$. Here $n_1 \in \{2q/3, q/3\}$; let $f \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\operatorname{div}(f - f(\Phi(P))) = D + e\Phi(P) - n_1P$, where $e \geq 1$ and $P \notin \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. If $n_1 = 2q/3$, then $3e + 2$ is an $(2\mathcal{D}, \Phi(P))$ -order by (2.1). However, as $\dim(2\mathcal{D}) = 11$, the sequence of $(2\mathcal{D}, \Phi(P))$ -orders is $0, 1, 2, q/3, q/3 + 1, 2q/3, 2q/3 + 1, q, q + 1, 4q/3, 5q/3, 2q$ and thus $n_1 = q/3$. In this case, arguing as above, $3e + 1$ is an $(\mathcal{D}, \Phi(P))$ -order which is a contradiction.

Case $j_2 = (q-1)/2$, $j_3 = (q+1)/2$. From Lemma 4.3(2) and the hypothesis $q \geq 27$, we have that $2j_2 + 1 = q$ is a Weierstrass gap at P (i.e.; $q \notin H(P)$), a contradiction with $n_3 = q$.

- (2) If we show that there exists $P_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $j_2(P_1) > 2$, then the point P_1 will be $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -rational by (1). So, suppose that $j_2(P) = 2$ for any $P \in \mathcal{X}$. Let R denote the ramification divisor associated to $\mathcal{D}$ (cf. [34]). Then the $\mathcal{D}$ -Weierstrass points coincide with the set of $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ -rational points and $v_P(R) = 1$ for $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ (cf. Lemma 2.2). Therefore

$$\deg(R) = (q+6)(2g-2) + 5(q+1) = \#\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) = (q+1)^2 + q(2g-2),$$

so that $2g - 2 = (q - 1)(q - 4)/6$, a contradiction. That $n_1 = q/3$ follows immediately from Corollary 4.4(2) and Lemma 2.2(5).

(3)-(4) By (2.1) we can assume that P_0 satisfies Item (2). Let $x, y \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}(\mathcal{X})$ be such that $\operatorname{div}(x) = \frac{q}{3}P_0$ and $\operatorname{div}_\infty(y) = (q + 1)P_0$. Then the sections of $\mathcal{D}$ are generated by $1, x, x^2, x^3, y$. Now, if we show that there exists $P \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$ such that $j_2(P) = 2$ and $j_3(P) = 3$, then (4) follows since $\epsilon_i \leq j_i(P)$ and $\nu_{i-i} \leq j_i(P) - j_1(P)$ (cf. [34]). To see that such a point P does exist, we proceed as in [13, p. 38]. For $P \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{P_0\}$, write $\operatorname{div}(x - x(P)) = eP + D - n_1P_0$ with $e \geq 1$ and $P, P_0 \notin \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. Then $e, 2e, 3e$ are $(\mathcal{D}, P)$ -orders and if $e > 1$, $3e = q + 1$, a contradiction as $q \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Finally, for $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ we show that $x^{-1}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2})$. Let $a_\alpha := \#x^{-1}(\alpha)$. Then

$$\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^2}) = 1 + \frac{q^3}{3} = 1 + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}} a_\alpha$$

and hence $a_\alpha \geq q/3$ for any α . □

In what follows we will assume that P_0 satisfies Lemma 4.6(2)(3); cf. (2.1). Let $x, y \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\operatorname{div}_\infty(x) = \frac{q}{3}P_0$ and $\operatorname{div}_\infty(y) = (q + 1)P_0$. Let $v = v_{P_0}$ be the valuation at P_0 , and $D^i := D_x^i$ the i -th Hasse differential operator on $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2}(\mathcal{X})$ with respect to x (see e.g. [21, §3]). We set $D := D^1$.

Corollary 4.7. $v(Dy) = v(x^q) = -q^2/3$.

Proof. (cf. [3, p. 47]) Let t be a local parameter at P_0 ; then

$$v(Dy) = v(dy/dt) - v(dx/dt) = -q - 2 - (2g - 2) = -q^2/3,$$

since by the previous lemma the morphism $x : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2})$ is totally ramified at P_0 and unramified outside P_0 so that $\operatorname{div}(dx) = (2g - 2)P_0$. □

In what follows, we will use several times the following basic property of v : For $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_{q^2}(\mathcal{X})$ we have that

$$(4.1) \quad v\left(\sum_{i=1}^m f_i\right) = \min\{v(f_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}, \quad \text{provided that } v(f_i) \neq v(f_j) \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We already know that either $N = 3$ or $N = 4$. If $N = 3$ the result follows from Remark 3.6.

Let $N = 4$. The rational functions x and y above are related to each other by an equation over $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ of type (see e.g. [26])

$$(4.2) \quad x^{q+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{q/3} A_i(x)y^i = 0,$$

where the $A_i(x)$'s are polynomials in x such that $\deg(A_i(x)) \leq q - 3i$, and $A_{q/3}(x) = A_{q/3} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}^*$. In addition by Lemma 4.6(4) we have a relation of type (cf. [34, Prop. 2.1]):

$$(4.3) \quad y^{q^2} - y = (x^{q^2} - x)Dy + (x^{q^2} - x)^2 D^2 y + (x^{q^2} - x)^3 D^3 y.$$

Claim 4.8. For $j = 0, \dots, t-2$ and $k \not\equiv (\text{mod } 3)$, $k \geq 2$ and $3^j k \leq q/3$ we have that $A_{3^j k}(x) = 0$ and $A_{3^j}(x) = A_{3^j} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}^*$.

(Recall that $q = 3^t$.)

Proof. We apply induction on j . First we show that $A_k(x) = 0$ if $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $2 \leq k \leq q/3$. To do that, apply $D = D^{3^0}$ to Eq. (4.2); so

$$x^q + F_0 + G_0 Dy = 0, \quad \text{with}$$

$$F_0 := \sum_{i=0}^{q/3-1} y^i D A_i(x), \quad \text{and} \quad G_0 := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q/3-1} A_i(x) i y^{i-1} \right) Dy.$$

Suppose that $A_k(x) \neq 0$ for some $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $2 \leq k \leq q/3$. Then $v(G) < v(x^q)$ by Corollary 4.7 and thus $v(F) = v(GDy)$. Therefore by property (4.1) there exist integers $2 \leq i_0 \leq q/3 - 1$, $i_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $1 \leq j_0 \leq q/3 - 1$ such that $v(A_{i_0}(x)y^{i_0-1}) = v(y^{j_0} D A_{j_0}(x))$, a contradiction as $\gcd(q/3, q+1) = 1$. The same argument shows that $A_1(x) = A_1 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}^*$ and thus the induction for $j = 0$ is complete.

Let $j = 1$. The case $j = 0$ reduces Eq. (4.2) to

$$(4.4) \quad x^{q+1} + A_0(x) + A_1 y + \sum_{i=1}^{q/9} A_{3i}(x) y^{3i} = 0.$$

Apply D^3 to this equation. We find that

$$D^3 A_0(x) + A_1 D^3 y + F_1 + G_1 (Dy)^3,$$

with

$$F_1 := \sum_{i=0}^{q/3} y^{3i} D A_{3i}(x), \quad \text{and} \quad G_1 := \sum_{i=0} A_{3i}(x) i y^{3i-3}.$$

At this point we notice that $v(D^3 y) = -q^2$. Indeed, from Eq. (4.3) we have that $v(D^2 y - (x^{q^2} - x)D^3 y) = -q^3/3 - q^2$ and so it is enough to see that $v(D^2 y) > -q^3/3 - q^2$. This inequality easily follows by applying D^2 to Eq. (4.4) and by using property (4.1) once more. Now the case $j = 1$ follows as in the previous case.

Finally, the case j implies the case $j+1$ (with $j \geq 1$) is even easier than the previous cases since $D^{3^{j+1}} y = 0$ by Lemma 4.6(4). $\square$

Therefore Eq. (4.2) becomes

$$(4.5) \quad x^{q+1} + A_0(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} A_{3^i} y^{3^i} = 0,$$

where $A_0(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree at most q , and where each $A_{3^i} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}^*$. Let $A_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^q B_i x^i$. By using maps of type $(x, y) \mapsto (x + a, y)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (x, ax + y)$ we can assume that $B_1 = B_q = 0$. We can also assume that $B_0 = 0$ by Lemma 4.6(3). Moreover, it is easy to see that the property: $D^{3^i} y = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, t-1$ (cf. Lemma 4.6(4)), reduces $A_0(x)$ to

$$A_0(x) = B_2 x^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} B_{3^i} x^{3^i} + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} B_{2 \cdot 3^i} x^{2 \cdot 3^i}.$$

Next from Eq. (4.5) we see that the rational functions Dy , D^2y and D^3y are polynomials in x . From Eq. (4.3) we conclude then that $y^{q^2} - y$ is a polynomial in x which is a multiple of $x^{q^2} - x$ by Lemma 4.6(3). Therefore

- $B_i = 0$ for each i , and
- $A_{3^i} = (\frac{A_3}{A_1^3})^{(3^i-1)/2} A_1^{3^i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, t-1$.

Now it is easy to see that there exists $a \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ such that $A_3/A_1^3 = a^2$ and such that $a^{q-1} = -1$. Finally, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 via the map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, aA_1y)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abdón, “On maximal curves in characteristic two”, Ph.D. dissertation, Série F-121/2000, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2000.
- [2] M. Abdón and A. Garcia, *On a characterization of certain maximal curves*, Preprint (2002).
- [3] M. Abdón and F. Torres, *On maximal curves in characteristic two*, Manuscripta Math. **99** (1999), 39–53.
- [4] R.D.M. Accola, *On Castelnuovo’s inequality for algebraic curves, I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **251** (1979), 357–373.
- [5] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, “Geometry of Algebraic Curves,” Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1985.
- [6] E. Ballico, *Space curves not contained in low degree surfaces in positive characteristic*, Preprint May 2000.
- [7] G. Castelnuovo, *Ricerche di geometria sulle curve algebriche*, Atti. R. Acad. Sci. Torino **24** (1889), 196–223.
- [8] A. Cossidente, J.W.P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros and F. Torres, *On plane maximal curves*, Compositio Math. **121** (2000), 163–181.
- [9] A. Cossidente, G. Korchmáros and F. Torres, *On curves covered by the Hermitian curve*, J. Algebra **216** (1999), 56–76.
- [10] A. Cossidente, G. Korchmáros and F. Torres, *Curves of large genus covered by the Hermitian curve*, Comm. Algebra **28**(10) (2000), 4707–4728.
- [11] R. Fuhrmann, A. Garcia and F. Torres, *On maximal curves*, J. Number Theory **67**(1) (1997), 29–51.

- [12] R. Fuhrmann and F. Torres, *The genus of curves over finite fields with many rational points*, Manuscripta Math. **89** (1996), 103–106.
- [13] R. Fuhrmann and F. Torres, *On Weierstrass points and optimal curves*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Suppl. **51** (1998), 25–46.
- [14] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth and C.P. Xing, *On subfields of the Hermitian function field*, Compositio Math. **120** (2000), 137–170.
- [15] A. Garcia and F. Torres, *On maximal curves having classical Weierstrass gaps*, Contemp. Math. **245** Amer. Math. Soc. (1999), 49–59.
- [16] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, *How to construct curves over finite fields with many points*, *Arithmetic Geometry* (Cortona 1994), F. Catanese Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 169–189, 1997.
- [17] V.D. Goppa, “Geometry and codes”, Mathematics and its applications, Vol. 24. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1988.
- [18] J. Harris, *The genus of space curves*, Math. Ann. **249** (1980), 191–204.
- [19] R. Hartshorne, “Algebraic Geometry”, Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1977.
- [20] A. Hefez, *Non classical curves*, Atas 16 Coloquio Bras. de Matem. (1988), 33–37.
- [21] A. Hefez, *Non-reflexive curves*, Compositio Math. **69** (1989), 3–35.
- [22] A. Hefez and S. Kleiman, *Notes on the duality of project varieties*, “Geometry Today”, 143–183, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [23] M. Homma, *Reflexivity of tangent varieties associated with a curve*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **156** (1990), 195–210.
- [24] M. Homma, *Duality of spaces and their tangent surfaces in characteristic $p > 0$* , Ark. Math. **28**(2) (1991), 221–235.
- [25] Y. Ihara, *Some remarks on the number of rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo **28** (1981), 721–724.
- [26] T. Kato, *On Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are three*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **316** (1979), 99–109.
- [27] G. Korchmáros and F. Torres, *Embedding of a maximal curve in a Hermitian variety*, Composition Math. **128** (2001), 95–113.
- [28] G. Korchmáros and F. Torres, *On the genus of a maximal curve*, Math. Ann. **323**(3) (2002), 589–608.
- [29] G. Lachaud, *Sommes d’Eisenstein et nombre de points de certaines courbes algébriques sur les corps finis*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **305**, Série I (1987), 729–732.
- [30] J. Rathmann, *The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic p* , Math. Ann. **276** (1987), 565–579.
- [31] H.G. Rück and H. Stichtenoth, *A characterization of Hermitian function fields over finite fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **457** (1994), 185–188.
- [32] H. Stichtenoth, “Algebraic function fields and codes”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [33] H. Stichtenoth and C.P. Xing, *The genus of maximal function fields*, Manuscripta Math. **86** (1995), 217–224.
- [34] K.O. Stöhr and J.F. Voloch, *Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **52** (1986), 1–19.
- [35] J.F. Voloch, *Surfaces in $\mathbf{P}^3$ over finite fields*, Contemp. Math., to appear.

DEP. MATEMÁTICA, PUC-RIO, MARQUÊS DE S. VICENTE 225, 22453-900, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRAZIL

E-mail address: miriam@mat.puc-rio.br

IMECC-UNICAMP, Cx. P. 6065, CAMPINAS, 13083-970-SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: ftorres@ime.unicamp.br

CURRENT ADDRESS: DPTO. ALGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA; FAC. CIENCIAS-UNIVERSIDAD DE VALLADOLID, C/PRADO DE LA MAGDALENA S/N, VALLADOLID (SPAIN)

E-mail address: ftorres@agt.uva.es